PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (August 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 20, 2017

TIME: 9:52 AM

WSR 17-22-015

Agency: Washington Traffic Safety Commission							
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR							
☐ Continuance of WSR							
☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>17-18-002</u> ; or							
☐ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or							
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).							
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW							
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject)							
Title 467 WAC – TRAFFIC							
467-02 – Public access to	information	and records					
Hearing location(s):							
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:				
December 5, 2017	2:00pm- 2:30pm	621 8 th Ave SE, Ste 409, Olympia, WA 98504-0944					
Date of intended ado		mber 5, 2017 (Note: This is N	IOT the effective date)				
Submit written comm	ents to:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>				
Name: Mandie Dell or	Pam Pannk	uk					
Address: 621 8th Ave SW, Ste 409							
Olympia, WA							
Email: mdell@wtsc.wa	<u>gov</u> or <u>ppar</u>	nnkuk@wtsc.wa.gov					
Fax: (360) 586-6489							
Other:							
By (date) December 4, 2017							
Assistance for persons with disabilities:							
Contact Mandie Dell Phone: (360) 725-9883							
Fax: (360) 586-6489							
TTY:							
Email: mdell@wtsc.wa.gov							
Other:							
By (date) December 4, 2017							
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Revisions to current Title 467 WAC chapter 467-02 including: updating references to our old address; updating definitions, updating references to RCWs that have been recodified; updating the public records request language to reflect new RCW language.							

Reasons supporting proposal: See purpose above.							
Statutory author	ity for adoption: 43.59.070						
Statute being im	plemented: 43.59.070)						
3							
Is rule necessary	/ because of a:						
Federal Lav	□ Yes ⊠ No						
Federal Co	☐ Yes ⊠ No						
State Court			□ Yes ⊠ No				
If yes, CITATION:							
		any, as to statutory language, implementatio	n, enforcement, and fiscal				
matters: Not App	licable						
Name of propone	ent: (person or organization)	Washington Traffic Safety Commission	☐ Private				
			☐ Public☑ Governmental				
Name of a name of			△ Governmentar				
Name of agency	personnel responsible for						
	Name	Office Location	Phone				
Drafting:	Pam Pannkuk	621 8 th Ave SE, Ste 409	(360) 725-9884				
		Olympia, WA 98504-0944 621 8 th Ave SE, Ste 409					
Implementation:	Pam Pannkuk	Olympia, WA 98504-0944	(360) 725-9884				
Enforcement:	Pam Pannkuk	621 8 th Ave SE, Ste 409	(360) 725-9884				
		Olympia, WA 98504-0944					
If yes, insert state	=	required under RCW 28A.305.135?	☐ Yes ☒ No				
ii yes, iiiseri siale	ment here.						
The public may	y obtain a conv of the school	district fiscal impact statement by contacting:					
Name:	y obtain a copy of the school	district risear impact statement by contacting.					
Address);						
Phone:							
Fax:							
TTY:							
Email:							
Other:		OW 04 05 0000					
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?							
☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:							
Name: Address:							
Phone:							
Fax:							
TTY:							
Email:							
Other:							

Regulatory	Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a S	mall Busine	ess Economic Impact Statement:				
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):							
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.							
	I description:						
☐ This rule	•		e the agency has completed the pilot rule processule.				
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exer a referendum.	mpt under th	ne provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was				
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exer	mpt under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)				
	(Internal government operations)	_	(Dictated by statute)				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)				
	(Incorporation by reference)	_	(Set or adjust fees)				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)				
	(Correct or clarify language)	_	((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process				
	, , ,		requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)				
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exer	mpt under B	• •				
	of exemptions, if necessary:	inpranaoi ri	<u> </u>				
			NO EXEMPTION APPLIES				
If the propos	sed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-	-than-minor	costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?				
□ No	Briefly summarize the agency's analysis sho	owing how c	osts were calculated				
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:							
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:							
Na	ame:						
Ad	ddress:						
	none:						
	ax:						
	ΓΥ:						
	mail: ther:						
		Signati	ure:				
	Date: 10/20/2017		Oignataro.				
Name: Pam Pannkuk			D- OFF OKK				
Title: Deputy Director			my a lamment				